



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
59TH MEDICAL WING (AETC)
JOINT BASE SAN ANTONIO - LACKLAND TEXAS



11 APR 2017

MEMORANDUM FOR MCHE-ZDM-N
ATTN: LISA H. LU

FROM: 59 MDW/SGVU

SUBJECT: Professional Presentation Approval

1. Your paper, entitled **The Influence of Medical Evaluation Board Status on Symptom Reporting Among Service Members with Traumatic Brain Injury** presented at/published to **2017 Military Health System Research Symposium (MHSRS); Date/Location To Be Determined** in accordance with MDWI 41-108, has been approved and assigned local file #**17181**.
2. Pertinent biographic information (name of author(s), title, etc.) has been entered into our computer file. Please advise us (by phone or mail) that your presentation was given. At that time, we will need the date (month, day and year) along with the location of your presentation. It is important to update this information so that we can provide quality support for you, your department, and the Medical Center commander. This information is used to document the scholarly activities of our professional staff and students, which is an essential component of Wilford Hall Ambulatory Surgical Center (WHASC) internship and residency programs.
3. Please know that if you are a Graduate Health Sciences Education student and your department has told you they cannot fund your publication, the 59th Clinical Research Division may pay for your basic journal publishing charges (to include costs for tables and black and white photos). We cannot pay for reprints. If you are a 59 MDW staff member, we can forward your request for funds to the designated Wing POC at the Chief Scientist's Office, Ms. Alice Houy, office phone: 210-292-8029; email address: alice.houy.civ@mail.mil.
4. Congratulations, and thank you for your efforts and time. Your contributions are vital to the medical mission. We look forward to assisting you in your future publication/presentation efforts.

Linda Steel-Goodwin

LINDA STEEL-GOODWIN, Col, USAF, BSC
Director, Clinical Investigations & Research Support

PROCESSING OF PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH/TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

INSTRUCTIONS

USE ONLY THE MOST CURRENT 59 MDW FORM 3039 LOCATED ON AF E-PUBLISHING

1. The author must complete page two of this form:
 - a. In Section 2, add the funding source for your study [e.g., 59 MDW CRD Graduate Health Sciences Education (GHSE) (SG5 O&M); SG5 R&D; Tri-Service Nursing Research Program (TSNRP); Defense Medical Research & Development Program (DMRDP); NIH; Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program (CDMRP) ; Grants; etc.]
 - b. In Section 2, there may be funding available for journal costs, if your department is not paying for figures, tables or photographs for your publication. Please state "YES" or "NO" in Section 2 of the form, if you need publication funding support.
2. Print your name, rank/grade, sign and date the form in the author's signature block or use an electronic signature.
3. Attach a copy of the 59 MDW IRB or IACUC approval letter for the research related study. If this is a technical publication/presentation, state the type (e.g. case report, QA/QI study, program evaluation study, informational report/briefing, etc.) in the "Protocol Title" box.
4. Attach a copy of your abstract, paper, poster and other supporting documentation.
5. Save and forward, via email, the processing form and all supporting documentation to your unit commander, program director or immediate supervisor for review/approval.
6. On page 2, have either your unit commander, program director or immediate supervisor:
 - a. Print their name, rank/grade, title; sign and date the form in the approving authority's signature block or use an electronic signature.
7. Submit your completed form and all supporting documentation to the CRD for processing (59crdpubspres@us.af.mil). **This should be accomplished no later than 30 days before final clearance is required to publish/present your materials.** If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the 59 CRD/Publications and Presentations Section at 292-7141 for assistance.
8. The 59 CRD/Publications and Presentations Section will route the request form to clinical investigations, 502 ISG/JAC (Ethics Review) and Public Affairs (59 MDW/PA) for review and then forward you a final letter of approval or disapproval.
9. Once your manuscript, poster or presentation has been approved for a one-time public release, you may proceed with your publication or presentation submission activities, as stated on this form. **Note:** For each new release of medical research or technical information as a publication/presentation, a new 59 MDW Form 3039 must be submitted for review and approval.
10. If your manuscript is accepted for scientific publication, please contact the 59 CRD/Publications and Presentations Section at 292-7141. This information is reported to the 59 MDW/CC. All medical research or technical information publications/presentations must be reported to the Defense Technical Information Center (DITC). See 59 MDWI 41-108, *Presentation and Publication of Medical and Technical Papers*, for additional information.
11. The Joint Ethics Regulation (JER) DoD 5500.07-R, *Standards of Conduct*, provides standards of ethical conduct for all DoD personnel and their interactions with other non-DoD entities, organizations, societies, conferences, etc. Part of the Form 3039 review and approval process includes a legal ethics review to address any potential conflicts related to DoD personnel participating in non-DoD sponsored conferences, professional meetings, publication/presentation disclosures to domestic and foreign audiences, DoD personnel accepting non-DoD contributions, awards, honoraria, gifts, etc. The specific circumstances for your presentation will determine whether a legal review is necessary. **If you (as the author) or your supervisor check "NO" in block 17 of the Form 3039, your research or technical documents will not be forwarded to the 502 ISG/JAC legal office for an ethics review.** To assist you in making this decision about whether to request a legal review, the following examples are provided as a guideline:

For presentations before professional societies and like organizations, the 59 MDW Public Affairs Office (PAO) will provide the needed review to ensure proper disclaimers are included and the subject matter of the presentation does not create any cause for DoD concern.

If the sponsor of a conference or meeting is a DoD entity, an ethics review of your presentation is not required, since the DoD entity is responsible to obtain all approvals for the event.

If the sponsor of a conference or meeting is a non-DoD commercial entity or an entity seeking to do business with the government, then your presentation should have an ethics review.

If your travel is being paid for (in whole or in part) by a non-Federal entity (someone other than the government), a legal ethics review is needed. These requests for legal review should come through the 59 MDW Gifts and Grants Office to 502 ISG/JAC.

If you are receiving an honorarium or payment for speaking, a legal ethics review is required.

If you (as the author) or your supervisor check "YES" in block 17 of the Form 3039, your research or technical documents will be forwarded simultaneously to the 502 ISG/JAC legal office and PAO for review to help reduce turn-around time. If you have any questions regarding legal reviews, please contact the legal office at (210) 671-5795/3365, DSN 473.

NOTE: All abstracts, papers, posters, etc., should contain the following disclaimer statement:

"The views expressed are those of the [author(s)] [presenter(s)] and do not reflect the official views or policy of the Department of Defense or its Components"

NOTE: All abstracts, papers, posters, etc., should contain the following disclaimer statement for research involving humans:

"The voluntary, fully informed consent of the subjects used in this research was obtained as required by 32 CFR 219 and DODI 3216.02_AFI 40-402."

NOTE: All abstracts, papers, posters, etc., should contain the following disclaimer statement for research involving animals, as required by AFMAN 40-401_IP :

"The experiments reported herein were conducted according to the principles set forth in the National Institute of Health Publication No. 80-23, Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the Animal Welfare Act of 1966, as amended."

PROCESSING OF PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH/TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

1. TO: CLINICAL RESEARCH 2. FROM: (Author's Name, Rank, Grade, Office Symbol)
 Lisa H. Lu PhD Department of Neurology (MCHE-ZDM-N) 3. GME/GHSE STUDENT: ☐ YES ☒ NO 4. PROTOCOL NUMBER:
 FWH20170062E

5. PROTOCOL TITLE: (NOTE: For each new release of medical research or technical information as a publication/presentation, a new 59 MDW Form 3039 must be submitted for review and approval.)
 "San Antonio DVBIC TBI Clinical Tracking Repository: Traumatic brain injury symptom reporting patterns according to Medical Evaluation"

6. TITLE OF MATERIAL TO BE PUBLISHED OR PRESENTED:
 The influence of medical evaluation board status on symptom reporting among service members with traumatic brain injury

7. FUNDING RECEIVED FOR THIS STUDY? ☐ YES ☒ NO FUNDING SOURCE:

8. DO YOU NEED FUNDING SUPPORT FOR PUBLICATION PURPOSES: ☐ YES ☒ NO

9. IS THIS MATERIAL CLASSIFIED? ☐ YES ☒ NO

10. IS THIS MATERIAL SUBJECT TO ANY LEGAL RESTRICTIONS FOR PUBLICATION OR PRESENTATION THROUGH A COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT (CRADA), MATERIAL TRANSFER AGREEMENT (MTA), INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS AGREEMENT ETC.? ☐ YES ☒ NO NOTE: If the answer is YES then attach a copy of the Agreement to the Publications/Presentations Request Form.

11. MATERIAL IS FOR: ☒ DOMESTIC RELEASE ☐ FOREIGN RELEASE

CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX OR BOXES FOR APPROVAL WITH THIS REQUEST. ATTACH COPY OF MATERIAL TO BE PUBLISHED/PRESENTED.

☐ 11a. PUBLICATION/JOURNAL (List intended publication/journal.)

☐ 11b. PUBLISHED ABSTRACT (List intended journal.)

☒ 11c. POSTER (To be demonstrated at meeting: name of meeting, city, state, and date of meeting.)
 2017 Military Health System Research Symposium (MHSRS); Date/Location to be determined

☐ 11d. PLATFORM PRESENTATION (At civilian institutions: name of meeting, state, and date of meeting.)

☐ 11e. OTHER (Describe: name of meeting, city, state, and date of meeting.)

12. HAVE YOUR ATTACHED RESEARCH/TECHNICAL MATERIALS BEEN PREVIOUSLY APPROVED TO BE PUBLISHED/PRESENTED?
☐ YES ☒ NO ASSIGNED FILE # _____ DATE _____

13. EXPECTED DATE WHEN YOU WILL NEED THE CRD TO SUBMIT YOUR CLEARED PRESENTATION/PUBLICATION TO DTIC
 NOTE: All publications/presentations are required to be placed in the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC).

DATE
 1 June 2017

14. 59 MDW PRIMARY POINT OF CONTACT (Last Name, First Name, M.I., email)
 Kennedy, Jan E. jan.e.kennedy2.ctr@mail.mil CTR-Defense & Veterans Brain Injury Center (DVBIC) 15. DUTY PHONE/PAGER NUMBER
 210-916-7014/6086

16. AUTHORSHIP AND CO-AUTHOR(S) List in the order they will appear in the manuscript.

LAST NAME, FIRST NAME AND M.I.	GRADE/RANK	SQUADRON/GROUP/OFFICE SYMBOL	INSTITUTION (If not 59 MDW)
a. Primary/Corresponding Author Lu, Lisa H.	PhD-DVBIC CTR	Department of Neurology (MCHE-ZDM-N)	San Antonio Military MC
b. Tsagaratos, Jennifer E	BS-DVBIC CTR	Department of Neurology (MCHE-ZDM-N)	San Antonio Military MC
c. Cooper, Douglas B.	PhD-DVBIC CTR	Department of Neurology (MCHE-ZDM-N)	San Antonio Military MC
d. Reid, Matthew W.	PhD-DVBIC CTR	Department of Neurology (MCHE-ZDM-N)	San Antonio Military MC
e. Kennedy, Jan E.	PhD-DVBIC CTR	Department of Neurology (MCHE-ZDM-N)	San Antonio Military MC

17. IS A 502 ISG/JAC ETHICS REVIEW REQUIRED (JER DOD 5500.07-R)? ☐ YES ☒ NO

I CERTIFY ANY HUMAN OR ANIMAL RESEARCH RELATED STUDIES WERE APPROVED AND PERFORMED IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH 32 CFR 219, AFMAN 40-401_IP, AND 59 MDWI 41-108. I HAVE READ THE FINAL VERSION OF THE ATTACHED MATERIAL AND CERTIFY THAT IT IS AN ACCURATE MANUSCRIPT FOR PUBLICATION AND/OR PRESENTATION.

18. AUTHOR'S PRINTED NAME, RANK, GRADE
 Lisa H. Lu PhD CTR-DVBIC SAMMC

19. AUTHOR'S SIGNATURE
 LU, LISA HSIAO-JUNG 1518054569

20. DATE
 14MAR 2017

21. APPROVING AUTHORITY'S PRINTED NAME, RANK, TITLE
 Jeffrey C. McClean II, MD, Maj, MC, USAF

22. APPROVING AUTHORITY'S SIGNATURE
 MCCLEAN JEFFREY C.II.1022417343

23. DATE
 22 MAR 2017

PROCESSING OF PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH/TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

1st ENDORSEMENT (59 MDW/SGVU Use Only)

TO: Clinical Research Division 59 MDW/CRD Contact 292-7141 for email instructions.		24. DATE RECEIVED March 22, 2017	25. ASSIGNED PROCESSING REQUEST FILE NUMBER 17181
26. DATE REVIEWED April 07, 2017		27. DATE FORWARDED TO 502 ISG/JAC	
28. AUTHOR CONTACTED FOR RECOMMENDED OR NECESSARY CHANGES: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES If yes, give date. <input type="checkbox"/> N/A			
29. COMMENTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPROVED <input type="checkbox"/> DISAPPROVED Presentation of IRB approved research with appropriate disclaimers. Approved			
30. PRINTED NAME, RANK/GRADE, TITLE OF REVIEWER Kevin Kupferer/GS13/Human Research Subject Protection Expert		31. REVIEWER SIGNATURE KUPFERER KEVIN R.1086667270 <small>Digitally signed by KUPFERER KEVIN R.1086667270 DN: cn=KUPFERER KEVIN R.1086667270, o=USAF Date: 2017.04.07 11:03:24 -0500</small>	32. DATE April 07, 2017

2nd ENDORSEMENT (502 ISG/JAC Use Only)

33. DATE RECEIVED		34. DATE FORWARDED TO 59 MDW/PA	
35. COMMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> APPROVED (In compliance with security and policy review directives.) <input type="checkbox"/> DISAPPROVED			
36. PRINTED NAME, RANK/GRADE, TITLE OF REVIEWER		37. REVIEWER SIGNATURE	38. DATE

3rd ENDORSEMENT (59 MDW/PA Use Only)

39. DATE RECEIVED April 07, 2017		40. DATE FORWARDED TO 59 MDW/SGVU April 10, 2017	
41. COMMENTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPROVED (In compliance with security and policy review directives.) <input type="checkbox"/> DISAPPROVED			
42. PRINTED NAME, RANK/GRADE, TITLE OF REVIEWER Kevin Iinuma, SSgt/E-5, 59 MDW Public Affairs		43. REVIEWER SIGNATURE IINUMA KEVIN.MITSUGU.1296227 613 <small>Digitally signed by IINUMA KEVIN.MITSUGU.1296227 DN: cn=IINUMA KEVIN.MITSUGU.1296227, o=USAF Date: 2017.04.10 09:21:11 -0500</small>	44. DATE April 10, 2017

4th ENDORSEMENT (59 MDW/SGVU Use Only)

45. DATE RECEIVED		46. SENIOR AUTHOR NOTIFIED BY PHONE OF APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> COULD NOT BE REACHED <input type="checkbox"/> LEFT MESSAGE	
47. COMMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> APPROVED <input type="checkbox"/> DISAPPROVED			
48. PRINTED NAME, RANK/GRADE, TITLE OF REVIEWER		49. REVIEWER SIGNATURE	50. DATE

Title: The influence of medical evaluation board status on symptom reporting among service members with traumatic brain injury

Tsagaratos, J.E., Lu, L.H., Cooper, D.B., Reid, M.W., and Kennedy, J.E.
Defense and Veterans Brain Injury Center (DVBIC)
Department of Neurology (MCHE-ZDM-N), San Antonio Military Medical Center
JBSA Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234

Background

A medical evaluation board (MEB) is initiated by command to determine whether a service member (SM) with medical condition(s) is fit or unfit to perform his or her assigned duties. The results of MEB exams are evaluated to return the SM back to duty or to medically separate him or her. Because a MEB could result in a medical discharge from the military, some SM might diminish the severity of their symptoms out of fear of being discharged. Alternatively, SM might be influenced to exaggerate his or her symptoms in order to receive more benefits. We examined whether MEB status of SM with traumatic brain injury (TBI) influenced scores on two measures of inflated symptom reporting: The Neurobehavioral Symptom Inventory (NSI) validity-10 index, which is composed of symptoms infrequently endorsed by patients with mild TBI, and the Mild Brain Injury Atypical Symptoms scale (MBIAS), which is composed of neurologically improbable symptoms following mild TBI.

Methods

As part of standard operating procedure at the Brooke Army Medical Center, SM who self-reported TBI were interviewed, and those who consented were administered questionnaires. A sample of 212 SMs' data was analyzed, 149 participants were not in the MEB process and 63 were. 88% of the population were male ($n = 190$). Out of those who were not going through a MEB, 86% were diagnosed with a mild TBI ($N = 128$), 10% with a moderate/severe TBI ($N = 15$). Of those going through a MEB, 87% were diagnosed with a mild TBI ($N = 55$), 8% with a moderate/severe TBI. The average age of the population was 35 years old ($SD = 8.3$) and the average number of deployments was 2.3 ($SD = 1.7$). We examined distribution of participants by MEB status and two measures of potential bias in symptom reporting. Participants with a NSI validity-10 score > 22 and MBIAS > 7 were classified as possibly inflated symptom reporters.

Result

On the NSI validity-10, out of the sample that was undergoing a MEB, 51 out of 63 (81%) received scores above 22, and out of the 149 subjects who were not going through a MEB, 85 (57%) received scores above 22 ($\chi^2(1) = 11.00, p = .001$). On the MBIAS, out of the sample that was undergoing a MEB, 20 out of 62 (32%) received a score above 7, and out of the sample not going through a MEB, 25 out of 148 (17%) scored > 7 ($\chi^2(1) = 6.13, p = .01$).

Conclusion

Those in the MEB process were more likely to have NSI-validity 10 and MBIAS scores above cutoff than those not in the MEB process. This could reflect that those in the MEB process were more likely to exaggerate their symptoms, or that they have more symptoms that contributed to them being in the MEB process. Clinical interviews that rely on open-ended questions and assessment of the potential for over-reporting using instruments with better psychometric

properties are necessary in this population to distinguish probable inflated reporting from false positives.

"The views expressed are those of the authors/presenters and do not reflect the official views or policy of the Department of Defense or its Components."

"The voluntary, fully informed consent of the subjects used in this research was obtained as required by 32 CFR 219 and DODI 3216.02_AFI 40-401."